arXiv:1612.07847v3 [math.DG] 16 Jan 2017

SMOOTH k-JET METRICS ON DEMAILY-SEMPLE INVARIANT JET BUNDLE

MOHAMMAD REZA RAHMATI

Abstract. We test several metrics of choice on the Demaily-Semple projective bundle on a projective variety for the holomorphic Morse inequalities. These calculations is along the Demaily program of the proof of the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture.

1. Introduction

If X is a smooth projective variety of dimension n then The Green-Griffiths bundle

$$E^{GG}_{k,m}T^* \longrightarrow X \tag{1}$$

of jet differentials of order k and weight m, satisfies the following estimate for the Euler-Poincaré characteristic

$$\chi(E^{GG}_{k,m}T^*) = \frac{m^{(k+1)n-1}}{(k!)^n((k+1)n-1} \times \big(\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}c_1(X)^n(\log k)^n + O((\log k)^{n-1})\big) + ... \tag{2}$$

In [D1] Demaily presents a strategy which proves the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture. The precise statement of the conjecture is

Conjecture:(Green-Griffiths-Lang) [GG] Let (X, V) be a pair where X is a projective variety of general type, and V is a holomorphic subbundle of the tangent bundle T_X. Then there should exists an algebraic subvariety $Y \subsetneq X$ such that every nonconstant entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ tangent to V is contained in Y.

Assume $E^{GG}_{k,m}T^*$ can also be interpreted as the bundle of germs of weighted homogeneous polynomials along the fibers in the Semple tower

$$X_k \to X_{k-1} \to ... \to X \tag{3}$$

as described in [GG], [D1]. A basic observation due to Green-Griffiths generalized by Demaily is; any section of

$$P \in H^0(X, E^{GG}_{k,m} \otimes A^{-1}) = H^0(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi^*_{0k} A^{-1}) \tag{4}$$

with A being an ample line bundle on X, satisfies an algebraic differential equation

$$P(f, f', ..., f^{(k)}) = 0 \tag{5}$$

The work of Demaily uses holomorphic Morse inequalities which involves a generalization of the calculation in [GG]. It employs the Finsler metrics on projective bundles to find estimates similar to (2) with inequalities instead of equality. Lets explain this a little bit. Suppose $V \subset T_X$ be a holomorphic subbundle and $E_{k,m}V^*$ the associated Demaily-Semple bundle defined similar for jet of curves tangent to V. A smooth k-jet metric h_k on (X, V) is a hermitian metric on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1)$ over X_k in the Green-Griffiths tower (3) associated to this pair (see [D1]). Say h_k has negative jet curvature along V_k, if

$$\Theta_{h_k^{-1}}(\xi) \geq \epsilon \mid \xi \mid^2_{w_k}, \qquad \exists \epsilon > 0, w_k \text{ on } T_{X_k} \tag{6}$$

The k-jet metrics can be constructed inductively on the Green-Griffiths bundles, starting from some metric on $V \subset T_X$. The procedure to apply holomorphic Morse inequalities can be explained as follows. Let L be a hermitian line bundle on X with curvature $\Theta(L)$. Define the

$$X(q, L) = \{x \in X | \ i\Theta(L) \text{ has exactly } q \text{ negative eigenvalues } \} \tag{7}$$

The cohomologies of the tensor powers of L with values in E satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_j (-1)^{q-j} h^j(X, L^{\otimes m} \otimes E) \leq r.\frac{k^n}{n!} \int_{X(\leq q, L)} (-1)^q (\frac{i}{2\pi}\Theta(L))^n + O(k^{n-1}) \tag{8}$$

This inequality can be used in different ways depending on the type of estimates appearing in calculations. For instance

$$\int_{X(\leq 1, L)} (\frac{i}{2\pi}\Theta(L))^n > 0 \tag{9}$$

then some high power of L twisted by E has many non-zero sections.

Theorem 1.1. [D1] *Lets (X, V) be a directed projective variety such that K_V is big, and let A be an ample divisor. Then for $k >> 1$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ small enough, and $\delta \leq c(\log k)/k$, the number of sections $h^0(X, E^{GG}_{k,m} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-m\delta A))$ has maximal growth, i.e is larger than $c_k m^{n+kr-1}$ for some $m \geq m_k$, where $c, c_k > 0, n = \dim(X), r = rank(V)$. In particular entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ satisfy many algebraic differential equations.*

We will examine many examples of Finsler metrics on Demaily-Semple jet bundles. The relation with the GGL-conjecture given by this fact that, in general their singularity locus of the k-jet metric will satisfy

$$\Sigma_{h_k} \subset \pi_k^{-1}(\Sigma_{k-1}) \cup D_k \tag{10}$$

where $D_k = P(T_{X_{k-1}/X_{k-2}}) \subset X_k$. This fact is formulated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *(J. P. Demaily* [D1], [D2]*) Let (X, V) be a compact directed manifold. If (X, V) has a k-jet metric h_k with negative jet curvature, then every entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ tangent to V satisfies $f_k(\mathbb{C}) \subset \Sigma_{h_k}$, where Σ_{h_k} is the singularity locus of h_k.*

The proof of the above theorem is a simple application of the Ahlfors-Schwartz lemma to the pull back of the associated metric to the disc in $\mathbb{C}$. The divisors D_k satisfy

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1) = \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_k) \tag{11}$$

There are canonical maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1) \subset \pi_k^* V_{k-1} \subset \pi_k^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}(-1) \tag{12}$$

which admits D_k as a zero divisor. The determinant bundles satisfy the relation

$$det(V_k) = \pi_k^* det(V_{k-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(r-1), \qquad r = rank(V) \tag{13}$$

Assume k, m be large enough such that $H^0(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{0k}^* L^{-1})$ has non-zero sections $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_N$ with base locus Z. Assume L has a smooth metric h_L with positive curvature. Then we can define a singular metric h'_k on $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1)$ by

$$h'_k(w, \xi) = (\sum_j |\sigma_j(w).\xi^m|^2)^{1/m}, \qquad w \in X_k,\ \xi \in \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1)_w \tag{14}$$

and $\Sigma_{h'_k} = Z$. The calculation shows

$$\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\overline{\partial} \log h'_k = \Theta_{h'^{-1}_k}(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1)) \geq \frac{1}{m} \pi_{0k}^* \Theta_L \tag{15}$$

Summarizing all we obtain;

Corollary 1.3. *(J. P. Demaily* [D1], [D2]*) Assume that there exists $k, m > 0$ and an ample line bundle L on X such that $H^0(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{0k}^* L^{-1}) = H^0(X, E_{k,m}(V^*) \otimes L^{-1})$ has non-zero sections $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n$. Let $Z \subset X_k$ be the base locus of these sections. then every entire curve tangent to V is such that $f_k(\mathbb{C}) \subset \Sigma_{h_k}$. In other words, for*

every global $G_k$invariant polynomial differential operator P of weighted degree m and values in L^{-1}, every entire curve f must satisfy $P(f)=0$.

In case of use the line bundle L is defined via $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)$ by a rational twist denoted by δ in Theorem 1.1. It can specifically be given by

$$L_k=\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(1)\otimes\pi_k^*\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{kr}(1+\frac{1}{2}+...+\frac{1}{k})L^{-1}) \tag{16}$$

In [D1] Demaily uses the k-jet metric

$$\mid(\xi)\mid=(\sum_s\epsilon_s\parallel\xi_s\parallel_h^{2p/s})^{1/p}=(\sum_s(\sum_\alpha\mid\xi_{s\alpha}\mid^2+\sum_{ij\alpha\beta}c_{ij\alpha\beta}z_i\bar{z}_j\xi_{s\alpha}\bar{\xi}_{s\beta})^{p/s})^{1/p}+... \tag{17}$$

on the Green-Griffiths bundle of jets (here the metric is written on a orthonormal frame of V; see the Remark below). The exponent p should be chosen as a multiple of $lcm(1,2,...k)$ to obtain integer exponents, and $1>>\epsilon_1>>\epsilon_2>>...>>\epsilon_k>0$ are scaled according to a partition of unity on X such that as $\epsilon_s/\epsilon_{s-1}\to 0$ the metric converges to

$$\mid(z;\xi)\mid=(\sum_s\parallel\xi_s\parallel_h^{2p/s})^{1/p} \tag{18}$$

The scaling with the epsilons also guarantees the orthogonality in the components $(\xi_1,...,\xi_k)$ in the limit (see the reference). The curvature of this metric is calculated in [D1] as

$$\Theta=w_{r,p}(\xi)+\frac{i}{2\pi}\sum_s\frac{1}{s}\frac{\mid\xi_s\mid^{2p/s}}{\sum_t\mid\xi_t\mid^{2p/t}}\sum c_{ij\alpha\beta}\frac{\xi_{s\alpha}\bar{\xi}_{s\beta}}{\mid\xi_s\mid^2}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j+O(max_s\ \epsilon_s/\epsilon_{s-1})^s \tag{19}$$

Then for the volume estimation, we need to look at the integral

$$\int_{X_k^{GG},q}\Theta^{n+kr-1}=\frac{(n+kr-1)!}{n!(kr-1)!}\int_X\int_{P(1^r,...,k^r)}w_{a,r,p}^{kr-1}(\xi)1_{\gamma_k,q}(z,\xi)\gamma_k(z,\xi)^n \tag{20}$$

The factor $w_{a,r,p}^{kr-1}$ does not depend to $z\in X$ and only depend universally on fibers. The expression of the curvature can be made simpler by re-scaling $x_s=|\xi_s|_h^{2p/s}$, $u_s=\xi_s/|\xi_s|_h$. The curvature finds the following formula,

$$\Theta_{L_k,h_k}=w_{FS,p,k}(\xi)+\frac{i}{2\pi}\sum_s\frac{1}{s}\sum c_{ij\alpha\beta}u_{s\alpha}\bar{u}_{s\beta}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j \tag{21}$$

where $w_{FS,p,k}(\xi)$ is positive definite on ξ. The other terms are weighted average of the values of the curvature $\Theta_{V,h}$ on vectors u_s in the sphere bundle $S(V)$. The weighted projective space is a quotient of the pseudo-sphere $\sum |\xi_s|^{2p/s} = 1$. Thus the second sum in the curvature is of the form $\sum \frac{1}{s}\gamma(u_s)$ where u_s are essentially random variables on the sphere. Because γ is quadratic

$$\int_{u\in S(V)} \gamma(u)^n = (\sum_s \frac{1}{s})^n Tr(\gamma) \tag{22}$$

The integral becomes

$$\frac{(n+kr-1)!}{n!(k!)^r(kr-1)!}\frac{1}{(kr)^n}(1+\frac{1}{2}+...+\frac{1}{k})^n \int_X 1_{\eta,q}\eta^n + ... \tag{23}$$

where $\eta = \Theta_{det(V^*),h^*} + \Theta_{L,h_L}$.

Remark 1.4. *If X is a projective variety and E a hermitian vector bundle on X with hermitian metric h, such that in an orthonormal frame (e_λ) around $x \in X$ the metric can be written as*

$$h(e_\lambda, e_\mu) = \delta_{\lambda,\mu} - \sum_{j,k} c_{jk\lambda\mu} z_j \overline{z}_k + O(|z^3|) \tag{24}$$

This fact has been used in (17). We will also employ this formula for the test metrics on invariant jets in the next section.

Remark 1.5. *Forgetting about the complications in the metrics in order to define positive k-jet metrics on Green-Griffiths bundle one can proceed as follows. It is possible to choose the metric h on X such that the curvature of the canonical bundle $K_V = \bigwedge^r V^*$ is given by a Kahler form, i.e.*

$$\Theta_{K_V,det(h^*)} = w > 0 \tag{25}$$

Then $\Theta_{det(V),det(h)} = -w$. In the fibration sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1) & \hookrightarrow & \pi_1^* V \\ \downarrow & & \\ X_1 & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ X & & \end{array} \tag{26}$$

One can consider a metric with curvature $\epsilon_1\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_X(-1)} + \pi_1^* w > 0$ for $\epsilon_1 << 1$ on $\mathcal{O}_{X_1(-1)}$. Repeating this argument inductively, one obtains a metric on $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1)$ with curvature

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-1),\vartheta} = \sum_j \epsilon_1 ... \epsilon_j \pi_{jk}^* \Theta_{\mathcal{O}_{X_j}(-1)} + \pi_{0k}^* w > 0 \ , \qquad ... << \epsilon_2 << \epsilon_1 << 1 \tag{27}$$

However the metric ϑ is hard to analyze, because of adding the curvature to the metric at each step.

2. Invariant Jets

In case we consider the bundle of invariant jets, then one needs to consider only germs of jets of entire curves $f : \mathbb{C} \to X$ that are invariant under the change of coordinates in $\mathbb{C}$ and on X. Then one needs to work out the aforementioned calculations with an invariant metric. Toward this we examine the following metrics

Test 1: We replace the metric in (17) or (18) by

$$| \ (z;\xi) \ | = (\sum_s \epsilon_s \ \| \ \mathbf{W}_s \ \|_h^{2p/s(s+1)})^{1/p} = (\sum_s (\sum_{l=1}^s \ | \ W_l \ |^2 + \sum_l \sum_{ij\alpha\beta} c_{ij\alpha\beta} z_i \bar{z}_j \xi_{l\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{l\beta})^{p/s(s+1)})^{1/p} \tag{28}$$

where $\| \ \mathbf{W}_s \ \| = \sum_{l=1}^s \ | \ W_l \ |^2$. We have used the Remark 1.4 for the replacement of the curvature at each stage and the formula

$$\mathbf{W}_s = \xi_1 \wedge ... \wedge \xi_s \tag{29}$$

Taking the logarithm and using the identity $\log(f-g) = \log f + f/g + ...$ and applying $\frac{i}{2\pi}\partial\overline{\partial}$ similar to the former case we obtain

$$\Theta = \sum_s \ | \ \mathbf{W}_s \ |^{p/s(s+1)} + \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_s \frac{1}{s(s+1)} \frac{| \ \mathbf{W}_s \ |^{2p/s(s+1)}}{\sum_t \ | \ \mathbf{W}_t \ |^{2p/t(t+1)}} \sum_l \sum c_{ij\alpha\beta} \xi_{s\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{s\beta} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j \tag{30}$$

In this case one estimates

$$\int_{X_k^{GG},q} \Theta^{n+k(r-1)} = \frac{(n+k(r-1))!}{n!(k(r-1))!} \int_X \int_{P(1^r,...,k^r)} Q(\xi) 1_{\gamma_k,q}(z,\xi) \gamma_k(z,\xi)^n \tag{31}$$

where γ is the second term in the sum above and Q is function depending only to ξ. Doing the same change of coordinates and re-scaling one receives to consider expected values for

$$\int \gamma_k^n = \frac{1}{kr} \sum_s \frac{1}{s(s+1)} \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{ij\alpha} c_{ij\alpha\alpha}(z) dz_i d\bar{z}_j \tag{32}$$

which gives similar estimates as before. We formulate it below.

Theorem 2.1. *The lifts of the entire curve locus for projective directed (X, V) along the Demaily-Semple bundles are contained in the zero set of all Wronskians*

$$W_1 = W_2 = ... = W_k = ... = 0 \tag{33}$$

Proof. The theorem follows from Theorem 1.2 and the convergence in (32), followed by the methodology in [D1] which is exactly the same as that of Demaily Finsler metric in Section 1. □

Test 2: We test the following metric

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k} \epsilon_s(\sum_{\alpha} \mid P_\alpha(\xi) \mid^2)^{p/w(P_\alpha)})^{1/p} \tag{34}$$

where the P_α are a set of invariant polynomials in jet coordinates. The effect of this is then, the Demaily-Semple locus of the lifts of entire curves should be contained in

$$P_\alpha = 0, \qquad \forall \alpha \tag{35}$$

For instance a choice of P_α's could be the Wronskians (Test 1). However we slightly try to do some better choice. First lets make some correspondence between invariant jets with non-invariant ones. Lets consider a change of coordinates on the $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\xi = (f_1, ..., f_r) \longmapsto (f_1 \circ f_1^{-1}, ..., f_r \circ f_1^{-1}) = (t, g_2, ..., g_r) = \eta \tag{36}$$

This makes the first coordinate to be the identity and the other components to be invariant by any change of coordinates on $\mathbb{C}$. If we differentiate in the new coordinates successively, then all the resulting fractions are invariant of degree 0

$$g_2' = \frac{f_2'}{f_1'}, \qquad g_2'' = \frac{P_2 = f_1' f_2'' - f_2' f_1'' = W(f_1, f_2)}{f_1'^2}, ... \tag{37}$$

We take the P_α's to be all the polynomials that appear in the numerators of the components when we successively differentiate (36) with respect to t. An invariant metric in the first coordinates corresponds to a usual metric in the second one subject to the condition that we need to make the average under the unitary change of coordinates in V. This corresponds to change of coordinates on the manifold X as

$$(\psi \circ f)^{(k)}(0) = \psi'(0).f^{(k)} + \text{higher order terms according to epsilons} \tag{38}$$

That is the effect of the change of variables in X has only effect as the first derivative by composition with a linear map, up to the epsilon factors. Therefore the above

metric becomes similar to the metric used in [D1] in the new coordinates produced by g's,

$$| (z;\xi) |\sim (\sum_s \epsilon_s \parallel \eta_s.(\eta_{11})^{2s-1} \parallel_h^{p/(2s-1)})^{1/p} = (\sum_s \epsilon_s \parallel \eta_s \parallel_h^{p/(2s-1)})^{1/p} \mid \eta_{11} \mid \tag{39}$$

where the weight of η_s can be seen by differentiating (36) to be equal $(2s-1)$ inductively. We need to modify the metric in (39) slightly to be invariant under hermitian transformations of the vector bundle V. In fact the role of η_{11} can be done by any other η_{1i} or even any other non-sero vector. To fix this we consider

$$| (z;\xi) |= \int_{\|v\|_1=1} \sum_s \epsilon_s \parallel \eta_s \parallel_h^{p/(2s-1)})^{1/p} |< \eta_1.v >|^2 \tag{40}$$

where the integration only affects the last factor making average over all vectors in $v \in V$. This will remove the the former difficulty. The curvature is the same as for the metric in (17) but only an extra contribution from the last factor,

(41)
$$\gamma_k(z,\eta) = \frac{i}{2\pi}\big(w_{r,p}(\eta)+\sum_{lm\alpha} b_{lm\alpha}(\int_{\|v\|_1=1} v_\alpha)dz_l\wedge d\bar{z}_m+\sum_s \frac{1}{s}\frac{\mid \eta_s \mid^{2p/s}}{\sum_t \mid \eta_t \mid^{2p/t}} \sum c_{ij\lambda\mu}\frac{\eta_{s\alpha}\bar{\eta}_{s\beta}}{\mid \eta_s \mid^2}dz_i\wedge d\bar{z}_j\big)$$

The contribution of the factor $\mid \eta_{11} \mid$ can be understood as the curvature of the sub-bundle of V which is orthogonal complement to the remainder. Thus

$$b_{lm\alpha} = c_{lm\alpha\alpha} \tag{42}$$

where c_{lm11} is read from the coefficients of the curvature tensor of (V, w^{FS}) the Fubini-Study metric on V (the second factor in (40). Then we need to look at the integral

$$\int_{X_k,q} \Theta^{n+k(r-1)} = \frac{(n+k(r-1))!}{n!(k(r-1))!}\int_X \int_{P(1^r,\dots,k^r)} w_{a,r,p}^{k(r-1)}(\eta) 1_{\gamma_k,q}(z,\eta)\gamma_k(z,\eta)^n \tag{43}$$

In the course of evaluating with the Morse inequalities the curvature form is replaced by the trace of the above tensor in raising to the power $n = \dim X$, then if we use polar coordinates

$$x_s = \parallel \eta_s \parallel^{2p/s}, \qquad u_s = \eta_s/ \parallel \eta_s \parallel \tag{44}$$

Then the value of the curvature when integrating over the sphere yields the following

$$\gamma_k = \frac{i}{2\pi}\Big(\sum_{lm} b_{lm\alpha} dz_l \wedge d\bar{z}_m + \sum_s \frac{1}{s}\sum c_{ij\lambda\lambda} u_{s\lambda}\bar{u}_{s\lambda} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j\Big) \tag{45}$$

Because the first term is a finite sum with respect to s, the estimates for this new form would be essentially the same as those in [D1]. Therefore one expects

$$\int_{X_k,q} \Theta^{n+k(r-1)} = \frac{(\log k)^n}{n!(k!)^r}\Big(\int_X 1_{\gamma,q}\gamma^n + O((\log k)^{-1})\Big) \tag{46}$$

similar to [D1], for non-invariant case.

Test 3: Reflecting some ideas in [B], lets use the metric

$$|(z,\xi)| = \Big(\sum_{s=1}^{k} \epsilon_s\Big(\sum_{u_i \in S^s V^*} |W^s_{u_1,\dots,u_s}|^2 + \sum_{ij u_\alpha u_\beta} C_{ij u_\alpha u_\beta} z_i \bar{z}_j u_\alpha \bar{u}_\beta\Big)^{p/s(s+1)}\Big)^{1/p} \tag{47}$$

where $W^s_{u_1,\dots,u_s}$ is the Wronskian

$$W^s_{u_1,\dots,u_s} = W(u_1 \circ f, \dots, u_s \circ f) \tag{48}$$

and we regard the summand front the ϵ_s as a metric on $S^s V^*$. The coefficient $C_{ij u_\alpha u_\beta}$ we are going to compute. Moreover the frame $\langle u_i \rangle$ is chosen of monomials to be holomorphic and orthonormal at 0 dual to the frame $\langle e^\alpha = \sqrt{l!/\alpha!} e_1^{\alpha_1} \dots e_r^{\alpha_r} \rangle$.

The scaling of the basis in $S^l V^*$ is to make the fame to be orthonormal and are calculated as follows;

$$\langle e^\alpha, e^\beta \rangle = \langle \sqrt{l!/\alpha!} e_1^{\alpha_1} \dots e_r^{\alpha_r}, \sqrt{l!/\alpha!} e_1^{\beta_1} \dots e_r^{\beta_r} \rangle = \sqrt{1/\alpha!\beta!} \langle \prod_{i=1}^{l} e_{\eta(i)}, \sum_{\sigma \in S_l} \prod_{i=1}^{l} e_{\eta \circ \sigma(i)} \rangle \tag{49}$$

via the embedding $S^l V^* \hookrightarrow V^{\otimes l}$ and the map $\eta : \{1....l\} \to \{1....r\}$ taking the value i the α_i times.

To compute the coefficients of the curvature tensor we proceed as follows. Because the frame $\langle e_\lambda \rangle$ of V were chosen to be orthonormal at the given point $x \in X$, substituting

$$\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} + \sum_{ij\lambda\mu} c_{ij\lambda\mu} z_i \bar{z}_j + \dots \tag{50}$$

It follows that

$$\langle e^{\alpha}, e^{\beta}\rangle = \sqrt{1/\alpha!\beta!}(\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\eta\circ\sigma(i)=\eta(i)} c_{ij\alpha_{\eta(i)}\beta_{\eta(i)}} z_i\bar{z}_j + ... \tag{51}$$

which explains the scalars $C_{iju_\alpha u_\beta}$ in terms of curvature of the metric on V. In the estimation of the volume

$$\int_{X_k} \Theta^{n+k(r-1)} = \int_{X_k} (\Theta_{\text{vert}}+\Theta_{\text{hor}})^{n+k(r-1)} = \frac{(n+k(r-1))!}{n!(k(r-1))!} \int_X \int_{\mathbb{P}(1^{[r]},...,k^{[r]})} \Theta_{\text{vert}}^{k(r-1)}\Theta_{hor}^{n} \tag{52}$$

However the calculations with Θ involves more complicated estimates. The above metric reflects some ideas of D. Brotbek [B], on Wronskian ideal sheaves. It would be interesting to check out if the Monte Carlo process would converge with this metric.

Lets see what is the conclusion of our discussions and the aforementioned metrics. As was mentioned the Theorem 1.1 has been stated and proved in [D1] and [D2] as an application of holomorphic Morse inequalities using the metric (18). Our methodology of using invariant metrics proves the same result for the invariant metrics on Demaily-Semple bundles. The convergence of the Monte-Carlo integrals in (32) and (45) implies the possibility to apply holomorphic Morse inequalities in the new case. The conclusion is that, the Theorem 1.1 is also true for Demaily-Semple jet differentials, i.e with $E_{k,m}^{GG}$ replaced by $E_{k,m}$ (see [D1] for definitions).

References

[B] D. Brotbek, On the hyperbolicity of general hypersurface, preprint 2016

[D1] J. P. Demaily, Hyperbolic algebraic varieties and holomorphic differential equations, Acta Math. Vietnam, 37(4) 441-512, 2012

[D2] J. P. Demaily, Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture, preprint

[GG] M. Green, P. Griffiths, Two applications of algebraic geometry to entire holomorphic mappings, The Chern Symposium 1979. (Proc. Intern. Sympos., Berkeley, California, 1979) 41-74, Springer, New York, 1980.

Centro de Investigacion en Matematicas, CIMAT

,

E-mail address: mrahmati@cimat.mx